

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YORK
YORKTOWN, VIRGINIA

Resolution

At a regular meeting of the York County Board of Supervisors held in York Hall, Yorktown, Virginia, on the ____ day of ____, 2006:

Present

Vote

Walter C. Zaremba, Chairman
Kenneth L. Bowman, Vice-Chairman
Sheila S. Noll
James S. Burgett
Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr.

On motion of ____, which carried __, the following resolution was adopted:

A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE PENINSULA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS MITIGATION PLAN

WHEREAS, the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, as amended, requires that local governments develop and adopt natural hazard mitigation plans in order to maintain eligibility to receive certain federal assistance; and

WHEREAS, the Peninsula Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee (PHMPC), representing the Counties of York and James City and the Cities of Hampton, Newport News and Williamsburg, was convened in order to study the region's risks from and vulnerabilities to natural hazards, and to make recommendations on mitigating the effects of such hazards on the Peninsula area; and

WHEREAS, the efforts of the PHMPC have resulted in the development of a plan entitled the Peninsula Multi-Jurisdictional Hazards Mitigation Plan and said plan has been prepared for review, consideration and adoption by each of the participating jurisdictions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors, this the ____ day of ____, 2006 that the Peninsula Multi-Jurisdictional Hazards Mitigation Plan, dated January 2006, be, and it is hereby, approved and adopted subject to the following amendments:

- Page 183, revise the Action Item introduction to read as follows:

Recommended Action Item #1: Consider and evaluate measures that could help reduce the risk of flooding to new and renovated structures. Potential changes to the County's floodplain management ordinance to address this objective might include:

- 1) adoption of a cumulative substantial improvement rule under which additions/renovations within a ten-year time frame that cumulatively equal 50 percent of a structure's appraised value would trigger a requirement to elevate the existing structure; and/or,
- 2) adoption of a two-foot freeboard above the Base Flood Elevation standard for any new or substantially improved structure.

- Page 183, revise the Schedule description to read as follows:

Schedule: Consideration and evaluation of options and alternatives by the Board of Supervisors should occur within five (5) years.

- Page 192, revise the Action Item introduction to read as follows:

Recommended Action Item #13: Pursue all available opportunities for pre- or post-disaster grant funding to assist property owners who voluntarily wish to elevate their structures above the base flood level in order to reduce risk and mitigate repetitive flood losses.